13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	COLT B. DODRILL, ESQ.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 9000 YANXIONG LI, ESQ.		
3	Nevada Bar No. 12807 WOLFE & WYMAN LLP		
4	980 Kelly Johnson Drive, Ste 140 Las Vegas, NV 89119		
5	Tel: (602) 953-0100		
	Fax: (602) 953-0101 cbdodrill@wolfewyman.com		
6	Attorneys for Defendant		
7	GREEN TREE SERVICING LLC		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10			
11	NEVADA SAND CASTLES, LLC.	CASE No: 2:15-c	

CASE No: 2:15-cv-00588-GMN-VCF

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO FILE FIRST AMENDED ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

GREEN TREE SERVICING LLC; RECONTRUST COMPANY, N.A.; BANK OF AMERICA, NA; PRLAP, INC.; DOES I THROUGH X, inclusive; ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES I THROUGH X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

STIPULATION

1

2013322.1

Case 2:15-cv-00588-GMN-VCF Document 18 Filed 07/21/15 Page 2 of 10

EXHIBIT "A"

EXHIBIT "A"

	LAW	
	A	
:	RS	
:	ELO	
	S Z	
	COUL	
	∞	
ı	X S	
i	RNE	
١	0	

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	COLT B. DODRILL, ESQ.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 9000 WOLFE & WYMAN LLP		
3	980 Kelly Johnson Drive, Ste 140		
3	Las Vegas, NV 89119 Tel: (702) 476-0100		
4	Fax: (702) 476-0101		
5	cbdodrill@wolfewyman.com		
	Attorneys for Defendant		
6	GREEN TREE SERVICING LLC		
7			
8	UNITED STATED DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10	NEVADA SAND CASTLES, LLC,	CASE NO. 2:15-cv-00588-GMN-VCF	
11	Plaintiff,	GREEN TREE SERVICING LLC'S FIRST	
10	v.	AMENDED ANSWER TO COMPLAINT	
12	GREEN TREE SERVICING LLC;		
13	RECONTRUST COMPANY, N.A.; BANK OF		
	AMERICA, NA; PRLAP, INC.; DOES I		

THROUGH X, inclusive; ROE BUSINESS

Defendants.

ENTITIES I THROUGH X, inclusive,

Defendant, GREEN TREE SERVICING LLC ("GREEN TREE"), by and through its counsel of record, WOLFE & WYMAN LLP, hereby answers the Complaint of Plaintiff NEVADA SAND CASTLES, LLC ("Plaintiff"), as follows.

PARTIES

- 1. The allegations of Paragraph 1 constitute a conclusion of law as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, GREEN TREE denies them.
- 2. The allegations of Paragraph 2 constitute a conclusion of law as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, GREEN TREE denies them.
- 3. The allegations of Paragraph 3 constitute a conclusion of law as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, GREEN TREE denies them.
 - 4. GREEN TREE admits the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the Complaint.
 - 5. GREEN TREE admits the allegations of Paragraph 5 of the Complaint.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6.	GREEN TREE is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the
Complaint, an	d on that basis denies them.

- 7. GREEN TREE is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 7 of the Complaint, and on that basis denies them.
- 8. GREEN TREE is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, and on that basis denies them.
 - 9. GREEN TREE denies the allegations of paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
 - 10. GREEN TREE denies the allegations of paragraph 10 of the Complaint.
- 11. GREEN TREE is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 11 of the Complaint, and on that basis denies them.
 - 12. GREEN TREE denies the allegations of paragraph 12 of the Complaint.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 13. GREEN TREE repeats and realleges its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 12 and incorporates them by reference as though fully stated herein.
 - 14. GREEN TREE denies the allegations of paragraph 14 of the Complaint.
 - 15. GREEN TREE denies the allegations of paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 16. GREEN TREE repeats and realleges its responses to Paragraphs 1 through 15 and incorporates them by reference as though fully stated herein.
- 17. The allegations of Paragraph 17 constitute a conclusion of law as to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, GREEN TREE denies them.
 - 18. GREEN TREE denies the allegations of paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Cause of Action)

Neither the complaint nor any cause of action in the complaint states facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against GREEN TREE. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Priority)

To the extent the HOA's foreclosure sale was valid, Plaintiff took title of the Property subject to GREEN TREE's first priority Deed of Trust, thereby forestalling any enjoinment/extinguishment of GREEN TREE's interest in the Property. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Commercial Reasonableness and Violation of Good Faith – N.R.S. 116.3113)

The HOA lien foreclosure sale by which Plaintiff took its alleged interest was commercially unreasonable if it eliminated GREEN TREE's Deed of Trust, as Plaintiff contends. The sale price, when compared to the outstanding balance of GREEN TREE's Note and Deed of Trust and the fair market value of the Property, demonstrates that the sale was not conducted in good faith as a matter of law. The circumstances of sale of the property violated the HOA's obligation of good faith under N.R.S. 116.3113 and duty to act in a commercially reasonable manner. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Equitable Doctrines)

Plaintiff's claims are barred by the equitable doctrines of laches, unclean hands, and failure to do equity. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Acceptance)

Any acceptance of any portion of the excess proceeds does not "satisfy" the amount due and owing on the Loan and would not constitute a waiver of its rights under the Loan and Deed of Trust, or statute. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

27 | ///

28 | ///

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Waiver and Estoppel)

By reason of Plaintiff's acts and omissions, Plaintiff has waived its rights and is estopped from asserting the claims against GREEN TREE. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Due Process Violations)

A senior deed of trust beneficiary cannot be deprived of its property interest in violation of the Procedural Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution and Article 1, Sec. 8 of the Nevada Constitution. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(NRS Ch. 116 unconstitutional)

AS A FURTHER SEPARATE DEFENSE, NRS Ch. 116. is unconstitutional, both on its face and applied, under the constitution of the State of Nevada and/or the United States of America. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the complaint.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Satisfaction of Super-Priority Lien)

Plaintiff's claims are barred, because the super-priority lien was satisfied prior to the homeowner's association foreclosure. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitation)

The complaint and each cause of action contained therein are barred by the applicable statutes of limitation and/or repose. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

///

1

4

3

5

6 7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unjust Enrichment)

Granting plaintiff's demand in the complaint would result in the plaintiff receiving more than it is entitled to receive. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Payment of debt)

The actions filed in this case are not maintainable because plaintiff has failed to pay the debt, if any, owed on the property. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to comply with NRS 116.31162 – NRS 116.31168)

GREEN TREE states, upon information and belief, that the Association's sale did not comply with provisions of NRS 116.31162 – NRS 116.31168 or NRS 107.090 and is therefore void and without effect. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Reservation of Right to Assert Additional Affirmative Defenses)

Pursuant to Rule 9 of the *Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure*, as amended, because the complaint herein is couched in conclusory terms, and because after a reasonable inquiry sufficient facts were not available upon the filing of the within Answer, GREEN TREE cannot fully anticipate all affirmative defenses that may be applicable to the within Action. Accordingly, the right to assert additional affirmative defenses, if and to the extent that such affirmative defenses are applicable, is hereby reserved. This defense is alleged in the alternative and does not admit any of the allegations contained in the complaint.

WHEREFORE, GREEN TREE prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff take nothing by way of this complaint;
- 2. That GREEN TREE have judgment entered in its favor;

Case 2:15-cv-00588-GMN-VCF Document 18 Filed 07/21/15 Page 9 of 10

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

WOLFE & WYMAN LLP

3.	For costs	of suit and	a reasonable attorne	y's fee:

- 4. For all special defenses; and
- 5. For all other relief that the court may find just and proper in the premises.

DATED: July 16, 2015

WOLFE & WYMAN LLP

By:/s/ Colt B. Dodrill

COLT B. DODRILL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9000 980 Kelly Johnson Drive, Ste 140 Las Vegas, NV 89119

Attorneys for Defendant GREEN TREE SERVICING LLC

28

	1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>					
	2	On May, 2015, I served the GREEN TREE SERVICING LLC'S FIR	ST				
	3	AMENDED ANSWER TO COMPLAINT by the following means to the persons as list					
	4	X a. ECF Robert B. Noggle <u>robert@nogglelaw.com</u>					
	5						
	6	Paul R.M. Cullen paul@nogglelaw.com					
	7						
	8	System (you must attach the "Notice of Electronic Filing", or list all persons and addresse					
	9	attach additional paper if necessary):	attach additional paper if necessary):				
	10	Robert B. Noggle robert@nogglelaw.com					
7 ⁴ 7 ≽	11	Paul R.M. Cullen <u>paul@nogglelaw.com</u>					
ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS AT LAW	12						
SELOR	13	b. United States Mail, postage fully pre-paid (List persons and Attach additional paper if necessary):					
X ∩00	14						
T. EYS &	15						
5 T O T Z	16						
→	17	/s/ Katia Ioffe By:					
	18	Katia Ioffe An employee of Wolfe & Wyman	H				
	19	The employee of World & Wyman	L				
	20						
	21						
	22						
	23						
	24						
	25						
	26						
	27						

ersons as listed below: and addresses and persons and addresses. Wyman LLP